



#13

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)

Art Unit: 2632

Gilbert Carrillo, et al.)

RECEIVED)

Examiner: Daniel J. Wu

Serial No: 09/729,052)

JAN 28 2004)

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Filed: December 4, 2000)

) **ADDENDUM TO PRIOR**

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR)

"RESPONSE":A PORTABLE REMOTELY CONTROLLED)
TENNIS SCOREBOARD.)**'PROPOSED REPLY'**ADDENDUM

Asst. Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

In response to the Office Action dated 9/30/03, please include this addendum to the prior submitted "proposed reply" (RESPONSE) together with the prior submitted "proposed reply" (RESPONSE) for the above-referenced application as set forth below.

REMARKS

We follow *Graham v John Deere Co.* (381 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966)), which included secondary considerations such as commercial success, fulfilling a long-felt need and failure of others as evidences of nonobviousness. WILSON'S pursuit of this invention is evidenced by its desire to have a product which fulfills this unfilled niche in its tennis court product line.